

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,410	12/19/2001	Peter J. Schrenkel	89.0493	4877
75	590 06/23/2003			
Schlumberger Technology Corporation, Schlumberger Reservoir Completions 14910 Airline P.O. Box 1590 Rosharon, TX 77583-1590			EXAMINER	
			POLITZER, JAY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2856	
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Min

U

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/025,410 Applicant(s)

Schrenkel

Examiner

Jay Politzer

Art Unit 2856

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TABLE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the meiling date of this communication. p application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
earned Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 19, 20</u>	001			
2a) 🗆	This action is FINAL . 2b)				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-38	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	<u>.</u>			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)□					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
*0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachn		•			
	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 💢 lr	3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2856

Title: PRODUCTION PROFILE DETERMINATION AND MODIFICATION

SYSTEM

Filed: 12/19/01

Inventor(s): Schrenkel et al

DETAILED ACTION

REJECTIONS OVER PRIOR ART UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102:

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

"A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States."
- 2. Claims 1-8, 10-17, 19-23 and 26-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \S 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson.

Regarding Claim 1; in Fig 1, Johnson teaches a logging system, a downhole unit, Fig 2, and a deployment system, 4.

Regarding Claims 2-3; fluid barriers, Col 15, Li 30-37.

Regarding Claims 4, 6-7, 13, 20-21 and 23; see abstract and Col 11, Li 4-6.

Regarding Claims 5, 22 and 32; the system is inherently capable of manipulating the data for any common display.

Regarding Claims 8 and 12; see Col 9, Li 52-55.

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding Claims 10, 17 and 30-31; flow control is taught in the abstract.

Regarding Claim 11; see Fig 2, element 20.

Regarding Claims 14 3 and 33-37; see Fig 2 and Col 15, Li 30-37, and wherein all of the data is analyzed.

Regarding Claims 15-16; see Col 10, Li 9-12.

Regarding Claim 19; wireline access to the electronics is inherent.

Regarding Claim 26-27; see abstract and Col 15, Li 30-37.

Regarding Claim 28; the bridge is below the tool.

Regarding Claim 29; wherein there are perforation 216, 214 and 212.

Regarding Claim 38; see abstract and Col 15, Li 30-37

REJECTIONS OVER PRIOR ART UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103:

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2856

"A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person."

4. Claims 9, 18 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Johnson.

Regarding Claims 9 and 18; the logging tool is telescoped radially in Johnson. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to raise or lower the tool relative to the housing as long as the needed protection of the tool within the housing was assured.

Regarding Claims 24-25; a lubricator is not taught. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a lubricator because these are common in the industry and useful against tight fits.

DESCRIPTION OF UNAPPLIED ART:

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure because it teaches other aspects of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2856

INQUIRIES:

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Jay L. Politzer whose telephone number is (703) 305-4930 and whose facsimile number is (703) 308-7382

- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached at (703) 305-4705.
- 8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

jlp 6/17/03

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800